

***[NO MINUTES WERE GENERATED FOR
WEDNESDAY, JULY 4, 2012, IN OBSERVANCE OF
INDEPENDENCE DAY.]***

1149

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
THURSDAY, JULY 5, 2012
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S187587 B219894 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 **IN RE ETHAN C.**

Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed in full

The judgment of the Court of Appeal is affirmed.

Majority Opinion by Baxter, J.

-- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kennard, Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

S189856 D055698 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. GONZALEZ
(PERLA ISABEL)**

Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed in full

The judgment of the Court of Appeal is affirmed.

Majority Opinion by Corrigan, J.

-- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, and Liu, JJ.

S203448 **LUCKETT (JOHN) v. S.C.
(HAGGENS)**

Vexatious litigant application denied

The application of petitioner for leave to file Petition for Writ of Mandate is hereby denied.

S203740B237373/B240649 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 **LAW OFFICE OF CARLA
DIMARE v. TAYLOR (JOHN)**

Petition for review & application for stay denied

Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., was absent and did not participate.

S087569 **PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ (JUAN)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, Senior Deputy State Public Defender John Fresquez's request for an extension of time in which to file the appellant's opening brief is granted to September 4, 2012.

The court anticipates that after that date, only six further extensions totaling about 360 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her supervising attorney, if any, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S091915**PEOPLE v. NUNEZ (DANIEL)
& SATELE (WILLIAM)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Janyce Keiko Imata Blair's representation that she anticipates filing appellant Daniel Nunez's supplemental reply brief by August 7, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 7, 2012. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S119296**PEOPLE v. BATTLE
(THOMAS LEE)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Heidi Bjornson-Pennell's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by June 1, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 7, 2012. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 270 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S176886

C055923 Third Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. DUNGO
(REYNALDO SANTOS)**

Extension of time denied

The application of appellant for an extension of time to file the supplemental brief is hereby denied.

S176886

C055923 Third Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. DUNGO
(REYNALDO SANTOS)**

Extension of time denied

The request of respondent for an extension of time to file the supplemental brief is hereby denied.

S177046

D052885 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

**PEOPLE v. LOPEZ
(VIRGINIA HERNANDEZ)**

Extension of time denied

The application of respondent for an extension of time to file the supplemental brief is hereby denied.

S177046 D052885 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1**PEOPLE v. LOPEZ
(VIRGINIA HERNANDEZ)**

Extension of time denied

The application of appellant for a request for an extension of time to file the supplemental brief is hereby denied.

S199915**CONTRERAS (JORGE) ON
H.C.**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Christina Hitomi Simpson's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by December 28, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to September 4, 2012. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 115 additional days are contemplated.

S201443 B231678 Second Appellate District, Div. 3**PEOPLE v. GOLDSMITH
(CARMEN)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to August 8, 2012. No further extensions are contemplated.

S185240**SEKHON ON DISCIPLINE**

Probation revoked

The court orders that the probation of JAGDIP SINGH SEKHON, State Bar Number 170324, is revoked. The court further orders that:

1. JAGDIP SINGH SEKHON is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of two years, and he will remain suspended until the following requirement is satisfied:
 - i. He must provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
2. JAGDIP SINGH SEKHON is given credit towards the two-year suspension for the period of involuntary inactive enrollment which commenced on March 29, 2012.

JAGDIP SINGH SEKHON must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7

and as a money judgment.

S190514**GRANNAN ON DISCIPLINE**

Probation revoked

The court orders that the probation of PATRICK J. GRANNAN, State Bar Number 115693, is revoked. The court further orders that:

1. PATRICK J. GRANNAN is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of three years, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. He must pay restitution to Harry Kontoes, Jr., as previously ordered in case number S190514 (State Bar Court case number 08-O-12917); and
 - ii. He must provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
2. PATRICK J. GRANNAN is given credit towards the three-year suspension for the period of involuntary inactive enrollment which commenced on April 7, 2012.

PATRICK J. GRANNAN must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.